1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Samantha Klei Lisa P. Sutton, WASSER, CO 2049 Century I Los Angeles, C Telephone No.	er, Esq. (SBN 173740) n, Esq. (SBN 222414) Esq. (SBN 276183) OPERMAN & MANDLES, P.C. Park East, Suite 800 California 90067-3110 : (310) 277-7117 (310) 553-1793  Respondent	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles  MAY 2 7 2016  Sherri R. Cauca, Galleria Dancest/Clerk  By  Manuel Almendras
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
11	TOK THE COOK I OF BOOTH GEEDS		
12	In re the Marr	iage of	CASE NO. BD641052
13	Petitioner:	AMBER LAURA DEPP	(Assigned to Dept. 6,
14	and		) Hon. Carl H. Moor]
15	Respondent:	JOHN CHRISTOPHER DEPP	OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER, AMBER LAURA DEPP'S EX PARTE
16		II (AKA JOHNNY DEPP)	APPLICATION; AND DECLARATION OF LAURA A. WASSER, ESQ.
17			) Date: May 27, 2016
18			) Dept: 6 ) Time: 8:30 A.M.
19			,
20	111		
21	111		
22	///		
23	///		
24	N .		
25	I.		
26	1		
27	N.		
28	111		

-1-

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Respondent, John Christopher Depp II (hereinafter "Johnny") submits the within Memorandum of Points and Authorities in opposition to the ex parte application noticed by Petitioner, Amber Laura Depp (hereinafter "Amber") for hearing on May 27, 2016.

I.

## INTRODUCTION

Ex parte applications are restricted for emergency situations where substantial injury, harm, or, at the very least, prejudice, would result if the matter were not either resolved immediately or on shortened time. Amber is nonetheless seeking ex parte relief for several matters which do not constitute an emergency, including the payment of spousal support and attorneys' fees.

Not only are such matters devoid of any exigency, but they are also wildly premature. This case commenced this week. Amber filed her Petition for Dissolution of Marriage this Monday, May 23, 2016. Johnny filed his Response and Request for Dissolution of Marriage two days later, on May 25, 2016. No discovery has been conducted. The parties have not yet had an opportunity to even informally exchange information. The Court therefore has no financial information upon which to make the financial orders requested at this time. Moreover, Amber is a successful model and actress who earns her own income and is capable of supporting herself. Although Amber is entitled to seek support, fees, and property orders, Johnny is likewise entitled to reasonable time to prepare his response.

Amber is attempting to secure a premature financial resolution by alleging abuse. Her current application for a temporary restraining order along with her financial requests appears to be in response to the negative media attention she received earlier this week after filing for divorce. Both Amber and Johnny are successful actors. Amber filed her Petition three days after the death of Johnny's mother. There has been significant commentary in the media as to this timing given that Johnny was very close to his mother. Yet Johnny cannot protect himself or Amber from media scrutiny, and Amber's anger certainly cannot drive this dissolution proceeding.

The actual issues in this case should be handled through properly noticed Requests for Orders and settlement efforts. The issues are limited as the parties were married for little more than one

 year and have no children together. These issues can and will be resolved. Further, Johnny is willing to stipulate to mutual stay-away and personal conduct orders even though he and Amber are currently on different continents, as Amber is aware. There is therefore no basis, in law or in fact, upon which to issue the orders Amber seeks at this time.

П.

## AS A MATTER OF LAW, THE MAJORITY OF THE RELIEF REQUESTED CANNOT BE ORDERED ON AN EX PARTE BASIS

Due process requires reasonable notice and an opportunity to be heard. Accordingly, ex parte orders are issued with extreme caution and only under extraordinary circumstances. California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1202(c) requires that an ex parte applicant "make an affirmative factual showing in a declaration containing competent testimony based on personal knowledge of irreparable harm, immediate danger, or any other statutory basis for granting relief ex-parte." Amber cannot make such an affirmative showing because there is no risk of irreparable harm or immediate danger upon which to grant the majority of the relief she requests.

The majority of the relief she requests is purely financial in nature. Amber is seeking payments for spousal support, attorneys' fees, and accounting fees as well as the exclusive use and possession of particular real and personal property owned by the parties. Neither the parties nor this Court has <u>any</u> financial information upon which to grant such relief as this case commenced only four days ago. Moreover, as a successful actress with significant income of her own, there is no question that Amber can support herself until the parties have had a reasonable amount of time to assess their finances with the assistance of counsel and then negotiate a mutually agreeable resolution or seek regularly-noticed court orders.

Ш.

## ANY ISSUES FOR WHICH EX PARTE RELIEF MAY BE AVAILABLE HAVE ALREADY BEEN RESOLVED

In addition to her numerous financial requests, Amber has also requested a temporary restraining order against Johnny. Johnny is currently out of the country for work. He is unable to attend the hearing on this matter and has not heard Amber's specific allegations against him. He

nonetheless has every intention of staying away from Amber and will stipulate to mutual stay-away and personal conduct orders. IV. CONCLUSION For all of the foregoing reasons, Johnny respectfully requests that Amber's ex parte application be denied in its entirety. DATED: May 26, 2016 Respectfully submitted, WASSER, COOPERMAN & MANDLES A Professional Corporation By: LAURA A. WASSER, ESQ. Attorneys for Respondent